

Distribution:
✓ Docket No. 50-320

NRC PDR
Local PDR
DCS
TMI HQ R/F
TMI Site R/F
BJSnyder
LBarrett
TPoindexter
RWeller
MMasnik
PGrant
AFasano (Site)
JWiebe (Site)
LChandler, ELD
I&E (3)
LSchneider
TBarnhart (4)
ACRS (16)
OPA
SECY
HDenton/ECase
RHartfield, MPA
H-town Office

October 17, 1983

Docket No. 50-320

Mr. B. K. Kanga, Director
Three Mile Island Unit 2
GPU Nuclear Corporation
P.O. Box 490
Middletown, PA 17057

Dear Mr. Kanga:

Subject: Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2
Operating License No. DPR-73
Docket No. 50-320
Quality Assurance Plan, Revision 2

The staff has reviewed the subject document and finds it acceptable for implementation. However, the following actions should be completed as stated:

1. The Quality Classification List (QCL) addressed in section 2 of the QA Plan should be updated within 30 days of receipt of this letter, eliminating the term "important to recovery." Those items previously identified as "important to recovery" are to be reclassified as either "important to safety" or "not important to safety" using the updated engineering methodology.
2. The revised QCL and all GPU procedures that describe quality classification criteria and methodology should be submitted to the NRC TMI Program Office for review. It is the staff's understanding that this information is presently contained in GPU procedure 4000-ENG-7313.01, dated July 1, 1983. GPU will be notified within 30 days if this document and any other applicable documents are found unacceptable. Future changes to this and other applicable documents shall be forwarded to the NRC for approval prior to implementation. During the initial 30 day NRC review period, you should continue to use the July 1, 1983 revision of GPU procedure 4000-ENG-7313.01 and the current GPU approved version of other associated procedures.
3. The clarifications as to the applicability of Regulatory Guides 1.30 (page 107 of QA Plan), 1.37 (page 109 of QA Plan), 1.39 (page 112 of QA Plan), and 1.116 (page 115 of QA plan) to the operational phase should be deleted since the application of the Regulatory Guides are clearly stated. This change is to be scheduled for incorporation in the next revision of the QA Plan.

8310240256 831017
PDR ADDCK 05000320
PDR

| | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| OFFICE | TMIPO:NRB | TPoindexter | PD/TMIPO:NRB | | | | |
| SURNAME | TPoindexter | ibg RAL | BJSnyder | | | | |
| DATE | 10/17/83 | 10/17/83 | 10/17/83 | | | | |

- 4. The clarification items 2.B and 2.C to Regulatory Guide 1.58, Rev. 1 (page 114 of QA Plan) should be modified to assure that evaluations of inspection and test activities and training programs will be performed by personnel having documented evidence that they have demonstrated their proficiency in accomplishing the assigned Level II and III responsibilities in an acceptable manner. This change should be scheduled for incorporation in the next revision to the QA Plan.
- 5. The plan should clearly state that in the event conflicts exist between the QA Plan and the approved technical specifications, the technical specifications shall govern.

We therefore conclude that Revision 2 of the THI-2 Recovery QA Plan is acceptable for implementation. However, the five actions identified above should be completed as stated.

Sincerely,

Original signed by
B. J. Snyder

Bernard J. Snyder, Program Director
Three Mile Island Program Office
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: J. Barton
J. Byrne
J. Larson
Service Distribution List
(see attached)

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| OFFICE ▶ | | | | | | | |
| SURNAME ▶ | | | | | | | |
| DATE ▶ | | | | | | | |